SJS 44 (Rev. 11/04)

#### **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

APPENDIX H

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS	DEFENDANTS			
, ,	Whitney Postman		LaSalle Univers	sity			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff  (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THI				
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name,	Address, and Telephone Number)	Caren N. Gurmankin, Es Console Law Offices LLC 1525 Locust Street 9th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102	quire  Attorneys (If Known)	NVOLVED.			
II. BASIS OF JURISD		lvvv	(For Diversity Cases Only)	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)		
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government No		PTF Citizen of This State	DEF  1			
U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity  (Indicate Citizenship	of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2 2 Incorporated and I of Business In A	Another State		
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 CJ 3 Foreign Nation	0 6 0 6		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES		
CONTRACT  110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise  REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	315 Airplane Product Liability   320 Assault, Libel & Slander   330 Federal Employers' Liability   340 Marine   345 Marine Product Liability   350 Motor Vehicle   355 Motor Vehicle   375 Motor Vehicle   3	PERSONAL INJURY  362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice  365 Personal Injury - Product Liability  368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability  PERSONAL PROPERTY	Great TurkE/PENALTY  Great Strict St	422 Appeal 28 USC 158     423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157     PROPERTY RIGHTS     820 Copyrights     840 Trademark     840 Trademark     861 HIA (1395ft)     862 Black Lung (923)     863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))     864 SSID Title XVI     856 RSI (405(g))     FEDERAL TAX SUITS     870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)     871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	400 State Reapportionment   410 Antitrust   430 Banks and Banking   450 Commerce   460 Deportation   470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations   480 Consumer Credit   490 Cable/Sat TV   810 Selective Service   850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange   875 Customer Challenge   12 USC 3410   890 Other Statutory Actions   891 Agricultural Acts   892 Economic Stabilization Act   893 Environmental Matters   894 Energy Allocation Act   900Appeal of Fee Determination Act   900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice   950 Constitutionality of State Statutes		
₹1 Original 2 F	Cite the U.S. Civil Stat	Lemanded from Lappellate Court ute under which you are fi	Reinstated or anoth Reopened (spec-	ferred from Grant	n Judgment		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION		000e, et seq. ("Tit leging sex discrim	ie VII") ination and retaliatio	n.			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		S A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND \$ in excess of \$75,000	CHECK YES only	y if demanded in complaint: D: 💆 Yes 🗆 No		
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY n/a		JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER			
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATTOR	NEY OF RECORD				
December 17, 2	014	(a Il	9/				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY			rmankin, Esquire		ince		
RECEIPT#	AMOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JU	DGE		

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNS YLVANIA

CONSENT TO RECEIVE NOTICE OF ORDERS AND JUDGMENTS IN CIVIL AND CRIMINAL CASES BY MEANS OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION AND WAIVER OF PROVISIONS OF FED.R.CIV.P. 77(d) OR FED.R. CRIM.P. 49(c) PROVIDING FOR SAID NOTICE BY MEANS OF MAIL

#### TO THE CLERK OF COURT:

I hereby waive the provisions of Fed.R.Civ.P. 77(d) or Fed.R.Crim.P. 49(c) providing for notice of the entry of Orders or Judgments by mail in the manner provided by Fed.R.Civ.P. 5 or Fed.R.Crim.P. 49(c), and consent that notice may be given to me, in all pending and future civil or criminal cases in which I enter my appearance, by the Clerk of Court by facsimile in lieu of notice by means of mail. I understand that this form, when executed, will serve as Notice to and Authorization for the Clerk of Court to keep this information on file for all pending and future civil or criminal cases in which I enter my appearance.

I hereby confirm, by execution of this form, that I understand that it is my responsibility to notify the Clerk of Court, in writing, of my current address and facsimile number.

Caren N. Gurmankin, Esquire	205900		
Name (Printed)	Bar Id Number		
Console Law Offices LLC 1525 Locust Street, 9th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19012 Address (Printed)	(215) 545-7676 Telephone Number		
Address (Printed)	(215) 565-2853 FAX Number		
Cang 1	December 17, 2014		
Signature	Date		

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

APPENDIX F

Address of Defendant: LaSalle University, 1900 West Olney Aven	ue, Philadelphia, PA 19141
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction	
(Use Reverse Side	For Additional Space)
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corpo	
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.C.	v.P. 7.1(a)) Yes No X
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?  RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	Yes No X
Case Number:Judge	Date Terminated:
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questio	ns:
<ol> <li>Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or wi</li> </ol>	thin one year previously terminated action in this court?
is as a second second property metadown an earner nemocious sur pending of wi	Yes No.
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction	
action in this court?	Yes NoK
<ol><li>Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or a</li></ol>	ny earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously
terminated action in this court?	Yes No No
CIVIL: (Place of in ONE CATE GORY ONLY)  A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
2. □ FELA	2. Airplane Personal Injury
3. Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. Assault, Defamation
4. Antitrust	4. Marine Personal Injury
5. Patent	5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
6. Labor-Management Relations	6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify)
7. \(\overline{\text{Z}}\) Civil Rights	7. Products Liability
B. Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos
	9. All other Diversity Cases
9. Securities Act(s) Cases	
10. Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)
<ol> <li>All other Federal Question Cases</li> <li>(Please specify)</li> </ol>	
ARBITRATION	TERTIFICATION
(Check approp Caren N. Gurmankin, Esquire, counsel of record do her	iate Category)
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my k	
exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;  Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	
	007000
DATE: Dec. 17, 2014	205900 Attorney I D.#
Attorney-at-Law	Attorney LD.#
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury on	y if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.
certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pend	ng or within one year previously terminated action in this court
except as noted above.	0 1
Dec. 17, 2014	205900

APPENDIX I

CIVIL ACTION

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

#### CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Whitney Postman

v.	Plaintiff,	:			
LaSalle University	Defendant.	1		NO.	
in accordance with the Civil plaintiff shall complete a case iling the complaint and serve side of this form.) In the explaint and the complaint and standard shall other part which that defendant believe	e Managemen a copy on all ovent that a deshall, with its fities, a case ma	t Track Designed to Track Designed to See Track	nation Form in ee § 1:03 of the first agree with e, submit to the designation	in all civil cases at the ti he plan set forth on the re h the plaintiff regarding he clerk of court and ser	me of everse g said eve on
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	DLLOWING (	CASE MANA	GEMENT T	RACKS:	
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases b	prought under	28 U.S.C. §22	241 through §	2255.	( )
(b) Social Security – Cases i and Human Services den	requesting rev ying plaintiff:	iew of a decis Social Securit	ion of the Sec y Benefits	cretary of Health	( )
(c) Arbitration – Cases requi	red to be desig	gnated for arbi	itration under	Local Civil Rule 53.2.	( )
(d) Asbestos – Cases involvi exposure to asbestos.	ng claims for	personal injur	y or property	damage from	( )
(e) Special Management – C commonly referred to as of the court. (See reverse sin management cases.)	complex and t	hat need speci	al or intense	management by	( )
(f) Standard Management –	Cases that do	not fall into aı	ny one of the	other tracks.	(X)
Dec. 17, 2014	Caren N. C	Surmankin, I	squire	Plaintiff, Whitney Pos	tman
Date	Attorney			Attorney for Plaintiff	
(215) 545-7676 Telephone	(215) 565 FAX Nu	-2853 ımber	gurm	ankin@consolelaw.c E-Mail Address	<u>om</u>

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

WHITNEY POSTMAN Philadelphia, PA 19102

CIVIL ACTION NO.

Plaintiff,

٧.

LASALLE UNIVERSITY 1900 West Olney Avenue Philadelphia, PA 19141

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Defendant.

#### COMPLAINT

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Plaintiff, Whitney Postman, brings this action against her former employer, LaSalle University ("Defendant"). During her employment with Defendant, Plaintiff was discriminated against because of her sex, and retaliated against based on her complaints about the same, in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §2000e, et seq. ("Title VII") and the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, as amended, 43 P.S. §951, et seq. ("PHRA").

#### II. PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff, Whitney Postman, is an individual and a citizen of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
  - 2. Plaintiff is female.

- 3. Defendant, LaSalle University, is a private educational institution with its principal place of business at 1900 West Olney Avenue, Philadelphia, PA 19141.
- 4. Defendant regularly does business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
- At all times material hereto, Defendant employed more than fifteen
   (15) employees.
- 6. At all times material hereto, Defendant acted by and through its authorized agents, servants, workmen, and/or employees acting within the course and scope of their employment with Defendant and in furtherance of Defendant's business.
- 7. At all times material hereto, Defendant acted as an employer within the meaning of the statutes which form the basis of this matter.
- 8. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff was an employee of Defendant within the meaning of the statutes which form the basis of this matter.

#### III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 9. The causes of action which form the basis of this matter arise under Title VII and the PHRA.
- 10. The District Court has jurisdiction over Count I (Title VII) pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §2000e-5 and 28 U.S.C. §1331.
- The District Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Count II
   (PHRA) pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367.
  - 12. Venue is proper in the District Court under 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) and

42 U.S.C. §2000(e)-5(f).

- 13. On or about July 23, 2013, Plaintiff filed a Charge of Discrimination with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC"), complaining of acts of discrimination and retaliation alleged herein. This Charge was cross-filed with the Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission ("PHRC"). Attached hereto, incorporated herein and marked as Exhibit "1" is a true and correct copy of the EEOC Charge of Discrimination (with personal identifying information redacted).
- 14. On or about September 22, 2014, the EEOC issued to Plaintiff a Notice of Right to Sue for her Charge of Discrimination. Attached hereto, incorporated herein and marked as Exhibit "2" is a true and correct copy of the Notice (with personal identifying information redacted).
- 15. Plaintiff has fully complied with all administrative prerequisites for the commencement of this action.

#### IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 16. In or around February 2012, Plaintiff was hired by Defendant into the position of Assistant Professor, effective August 2012. The position for which Plaintiff was hired was a tenure track position.
- 17. Plaintiff reported to Barbara Amster, Chair, Speech Language
  Hearing Science Program. Dr. Amster reported directly to Brian Goldstein, Dean,
  School of Nursing and Health Sciences.
- 18. Upon the commencement of Plaintiff's employment, one (1) of her assignments was to teach the laboratory ("lab") sessions for a class that was

taught by a tenured, full-time professor (male) ("Professor") in Defendant's biology department. Plaintiff also assisted Professor with his lecture sessions for that class. She was supposed to attend the two (2) lecture sessions and the two (2) lab sessions every week, and in fact, she did so since the class commenced. As such, Plaintiff also had a reporting relationship to Professor.

- At all times material hereto, Plaintiff performed her duties in a highly competent manner.
- 20. During her employment, Plaintiff was subjected to discriminatory comments and conduct because of her sex, including, but not limited to, the following:
- (a) During Professor's class lectures and in front of the students, he asked Plaintiff to say words in French, as he knew that she spoke the language, and then commented how "sexy" and "seductive" her accent sounded;
- (b) During Professor's class lectures and in front of the students,he stood very close to Plaintiff, put his arm around her, and whispered in her ear;
- (c) After class, Professor told Plaintiff to wait for him so that he could escort her out of the building. When Plaintiff tried to take the stairs, Professor told her that, because she was wearing high heels, he wanted her to take the elevator with him;
- (d) After class on the Friday before the Columbus Day weekend, 2012, which was a holiday at Defendant, Professor told Plaintiff that his department was having a happy hour, and he pressured her to attend the same. Professor had previously told Plaintiff that it was a Biology Department tradition

to meet for a happy hour on the Friday before Columbus Day, and he had previously invited her to the event on this particular day;

- (e) Due to Professor's pressure, Plaintiff agreed to attend the happy hour. She stayed with Professor in his lab in which they had just taught a class session where she planned to do work until the happy hour;
- (f) When no one else showed up for the happy hour, Plaintiff asked Professor where his colleagues were. He laughed and said that he forgot to invite anyone else;
- (g) Professor brought out a bottle of wine and said that the same was to celebrate Plaintiff's recent birthday. Professor then drank a substantial amount of wine;
- (h) Professor brought out his guitar and played Plaintiff love songs;
- (i) Professor pressured Plaintiff to drink the wine that he brought;
- (j) Professor asked Plaintiff about her previous involvement with a dance group, commenting on the "asses" and "curves" of the dancers, and the "sexy" and "seductive" poses of the dancers and the "effect that that has on a guy;"
- (k) Professor sat down next to Plaintiff so closely that he was touching her;
- (I) Plaintiff felt so uncomfortable as a result of Professor's inappropriate conduct and his sexual advances that she made excuses and left

the lab; and,

- (m) As Plaintiff left the lab, Professor told Plaintiff that she would never teach one (1) of his class sessions again.
- 21. On or about October 22, 2012, about ten (10) days after the fake "happy hour," Professor sent Plaintiff multiple text messages and emails which included, but were not limited to, the following statements:
  - (a) Admitted that he made "a pass" at Plaintiff;
- (b) Said that he thought that Plaintiff was "very pretty;" a "great dancer;" "endearingly vulnerable;" and, "sensitive;"
- (c) Told Plaintiff that, "[o]ver the past couple of months, I have come to appreciate my dear colleague (you);"
- (d) Said that Plaintiff was someone that he had "come to care about more than I realized and more than I should have;" and,
- (e) Said that he "was such an ass hole and am ashamed of my lack of self control."
- 22. Shortly thereafter, Plaintiff complained to Dr. Amster and Dean Goldstein regarding the sexually harassing conduct to which she was subjected.
- 23. In response to Plaintiff's complaints, Dr. Amster reminded Plaintiff how important Professor was to Defendant's Speech Language Hearing Science Program. Dean Goldstein sent Plaintiff Defendant's sexual harassment policy, and told her that Professor needed her to "forgive him" and that she should acknowledge Professor's apology.
  - 24. Dean Goldstein also told Plaintiff that she needed to confront

Professor. When Plaintiff told Dean Goldstein that she was fearful of doing so because of Professor's conduct towards her and the fact that he was highly regarded at Defendant, Dean Goldstein said that she had to do so because "it's policy."

- 25. On or about November 2, 2012, Plaintiff asked Professor if she could meet with him after class so that she could do as Dean Goldstein instructed. When Plaintiff declined Professor's offer to meet in his office, as she was uncomfortable being alone with him, he slammed an object down on the counter of his classroom and yelled, "I don't believe this shit."
- 26. When Professor and Plaintiff walked outside and she complained to him regarding the sex discriminatory conduct to which he subjected her, he became extremely hostile, including saying:
  - (a) "You drank wine, too;"
  - (b) "You have been disrespectful and aggressive;"
  - (c) "This is just as much your fault;"
  - (d) "You have been the professor from hell;" and,
- (e) "You should look in the mirror if you don't want to be a hypocrite."
- 27. Professor also questioned Plaintiff repeatedly as to who she told about his sexually harassing conduct.
- 28. Professor then told Plaintiff, for the first time, that he wanted her to restrict her attendance of his lectures in the class in which she was assisting him.
  - 29. Plaintiff complained to Dr. Amster and to Dean Goldstein regarding

Professor's hostile response to her complaint regarding his sex discriminatory conduct. Dr. Amster's response was to tell Plaintiff that she needed to focus on her work, that Professor was just worried about his class and that he was very important to Defendant's Speech Language Hearing Science Program.

- 30. On or about November 4, 2012, Professor told Plaintiff that he was changing her assignment so that, instead of teaching one (1) lab session per week, as Plaintiff had been doing since the semester started, she would now teach one (1) lecture session per week. The lab sessions were generally considered to be more prestigious and challenging to teach, as they were worth more credits than the lecture sessions.
- 31. Professor also told Plaintiff that he did not want her to attend any of his class sessions going forward, as she had been doing since the commencement of the semester, other than the one (1) that she would be teaching.
- 32. Dr. Amster told Plaintiff that Professor was very upset aboutPlaintiff's complaint, and that she needed to agree to his terms to only teach one(1) lecture session per week.
- 33. Dr. Amster treated Plaintiff differently than she had prior to Plaintiff's complaints regarding the sex discriminatory and retaliatory conduct to which she had been subjected, including, but not limited to, unjustly and harshly criticizing Plaintiff's performance and speaking to Plaintiff in a hostile manner.
- 34. In or around December 2012, Plaintiff was told that Professor would continue teaching the class on which she had been assisting him during the

following academic year. When Plaintiff commenced her employment with Defendant, she was told that the reason that she was assigned to assist Professor in teaching that class was so that she could take it over during the next academic year.

- 35. On or about January 24, 2013, Dr. Amster and Dean Goldstein told Plaintiff that they had received complaints about her, and that she was "defensive," but they did not provide her with specific information regarding the same. Plaintiff was told that she had one (1) week to submit a "mini-dossier" regarding all of her accomplishments and then the department faculty would vote during a special meeting on whether her employment with Defendant would continue.
- 36. On or about February 11, 2013, Defendant informed Plaintiff that her contract was not going to be renewed for the following academic year and that her employment would end at the end of the Spring 2013 semester. Plaintiff was told that the reason for the same was due to performance issues.
- 37. Based on Defendant's continued discriminatory and retaliatory conduct, including its failure to take any remedial or corrective action regarding her complaints, Plaintiff resigned from her employment effective February 11, 2013.
- 38. Shortly after Plaintiff's employment with Defendant was terminated, in or around March 2013, she complained to Defendant, again, regarding the sex discriminatory and retaliatory conduct to which she had been subjected.
  - 39. On or about July 1, 2013, Defendant notified Plaintiff that, as a

result of its investigation into her complaints, it concluded that Professor "engaged in unwelcome conduct of a sexual nature towards" Plaintiff. Despite the same, Defendant also stated that its investigation did not "support a finding by a preponderance of the evidence that [Professor's] conduct rose to the level of a hostile work environment or that the decision not to renew your contract for the 2013-2014 academic year was related to your allegations regarding [Professor's] conduct."

- 40. Prior to Defendant informing Plaintiff that her contract would not be renewed, Plaintiff was never informed of any corrective or remedial action, including any investigations, undertaken by Defendant regarding her complaints.
- 41. Defendant's asserted reason for failing to renew Plaintiff's contract is pretextual.
- 42. Plaintiff's sex was a motivating and/or determinative factor in Defendant's discriminatory treatment of Plaintiff, including the hostile work environment to which Plaintiff was subjected, and Defendant's failure to renew Plaintiff's contract.
- 43. Plaintiff's complaining of discrimination was a motivating and/or determinative factor in Defendant's retaliatory treatment of Plaintiff, including the hostile work environment to which Plaintiff was subjected, Defendant's failure to renew Plaintiff's contract, and Plaintiff's constructive discharge.
- 44. Defendant failed to prevent or address the discriminatory and retaliatory conduct referred to herein and further failed to take corrective and remedial measures to make the workplace free of discriminatory and retaliatory

conduct.

- 45. The retaliatory actions taken against Plaintiff after she complained of discriminatory conduct would have discouraged a reasonable employee from complaining of discrimination.
- 46. The discriminatory and retaliatory conduct of Defendant, as alleged herein, was severe and/or pervasive enough to make a reasonable woman believe that the conditions of employment had been altered and that a hostile work environment existed, and made Plaintiff believe that the conditions of employment had been altered and that a hostile work environment existed.
- 47. As a direct and proximate result of the discriminatory and retaliatory conduct of Defendant, Plaintiff has in the past incurred, and may in the future incur, a loss of earnings and/or earning capacity, loss of benefits, pain and suffering, embarrassment, humiliation, loss of self-esteem, mental anguish, and loss of life's pleasures, the full extent of which is not known at this time.
- 48. Defendant acted with malice and/or reckless indifference to Plaintiff's protected rights, and its conduct warrants the imposition of punitive damages against Defendant.

#### **COUNT I - Title VII**

- 49. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1 through 48 above, as if set forth herein in their entirety.
- 50. By committing the foregoing acts of discrimination and retaliation against Plaintiff, Defendant has violated Title VII.

- 51. Said violations were malicious and/or with reckless indifference to Plaintiff's rights, and warrant the imposition of punitive damages.
- 52. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's violation of Title VII, Plaintiff has suffered the damages and losses set forth herein and has incurred attorneys' fees and costs.
- 53. Plaintiff is now suffering and will continue to suffer irreparable injury and monetary damages as a result of Defendant's discriminatory and retaliatory acts unless and until this Court grants the relief requested herein.
- 54. No previous application has been made for the relief requested herein.

#### **COUNT II - PHRA**

- 55. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1 through 54 above, as if set forth herein in their entirety.
- 56. Defendant, by the above improper and discriminatory and retaliatory acts, has violated the PHRA.
  - 57. Said violations were intentional and willful.
- 58. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's violation of the PHRA, Plaintiff has sustained the injuries, damages, and losses set forth herein and has incurred attorney's fees and costs.
- 59. Plaintiff is now suffering and will continue to suffer irreparable injuries and monetary damages as a result of Defendant's discriminatory and retaliatory acts unless and until the Court grants the relief requested herein.

60. No previous application has been made for the relief requested herein.

#### RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff seeks damages and legal and equitable relief in connection with Defendant's improper conduct, and specifically prays that the Court grant the following relief to the Plaintiff by:

- (a) declaring the acts and practices complained of herein to be in violation of Title VII;
- (b) declaring the acts and practices complained of herein to be in violation of the PHRA;
- (c) enjoining and permanently restraining the violations alleged herein;
- (d) entering judgment against the Defendant and in favor of the Plaintiff in an amount to be determined;
- (e) awarding compensatory damages to make the Plaintiff whole for all lost earnings, earning capacity and benefits, past and future, which Plaintiff has suffered or may suffer as a result of Defendant's improper conduct;
- (f) awarding compensatory damages to Plaintiff for past and future pain and suffering, emotional upset, mental anguish, humiliation, and loss of life's pleasures, which Plaintiff has suffered or may suffer as a result of Defendant's improper conduct;

- (g) awarding punitive damages to Plaintiff under Title VII;
- (h) awarding Plaintiff such other damages as are appropriate under Title VII and the PHRA;
- (i) awarding Plaintiff the costs of suit, expert fees and other disbursements, and reasonable attorney's fees; and;
- (j) granting such other and further relief as this Court may deem just, proper, or equitable including other equitable and injunctive relief providing restitution for past violations and preventing future violations.

#### **CONSOLE LAW OFFICES LLC**

Dated: 12/17/14 BY:

Stephen G. Console (36656) Caren N. Gurmankin (205900) 1525 Locust St., 9<sup>th</sup> Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102 (215) 545-7676

(215) 545-8211 (fax)

Attorneys for Plaintiff, Whitney Postman

# Exhibit "1"

CHARGE OF DISCRIMINATION				ENCY FEPA	CHARGE NUI	MBER
This form is affected by the Privacy Act of 1974; See privacy statement before consolidating this form.				EEOC	535.2013.0	3470
STATE OR LOCAL AGENCY	Y: PHRC					
NAME (Indicate Mr., Ms., Mrs.) Whitney Postman		HOME TEL	ЕРНО	NE NUM	BER (Include Area Cod	e)
	TY, STATE AND 2 niladelphia, PA 1910		•		DATE OF BIRTH	
NAMED IS THE EMPLOYER, LABOR OF STATE OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT WHO	RGANIZATION, I	EMPLOYME				OMMITTEE,
NAME LaSalle University  NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES, MEMBERS >15				TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) (215) 951-1000		
STREET ADDRESS CITY, STATE AND ZIP 1900 West Olney Avenue Philadelphia, PA 19141					COUNTY Philadelphia	
CAUSE OF DISCRIMINATION (Check appropriate box(es))  Q Race QColor X Sex QReligion QNational Origin  X Retaliation Q Age Q Disability QOther (Specify)				DATE DISCRIMINATION TOOK PLACE  Earliest Lalest 02/11/2013		1
The Particulars Are:		f				
I was hired by Respondent as an Assistant Professor starting in or around August 2012. I reported to Barbara Amster, Chair, Speech Language Hearing Science Program. Dr. Amster reported directly to Brian Goldstein, Dean, School of Nursing and Health Sciences. I taught the lab sessions for a particular class that was taught by tenured, full professor in Respondent's biology department. I also assisted Dr. during the lecture sessions of the class. In that capacity, I reported directly to Mr. two stold that the purpose of my assisting Dr. with the class was so that I could take over teaching the same during the following academic year.						
if any. I will advise the agencies if I change my address or telephone number and cooperate fully with them in the processing of my charge in accordance			f affirm	that I hav	ry for State and Local Requ re read the above charge and the information and belief.	
I declare under penalty or perjury that the foregoing is true	and correct.				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Date: 422/13 Charging Party (Stynature) SUBSCRIBED (Day Month,			ND SW		EFORE ME THIS DATE	Sinz Tita
	,					ADEL UL 23

# EEOC Charge of Discrimination Page 2 of 5 Initials of Charging Party --

#### 2. Harm Summary

I believe that Respondent has discriminated against me because of my sex, and have retaliated and re

st me ba: etaliatory	sed on my complaints about the same. Evidence of Respondent's discriminatory conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:
a)	In front of the students in the class, Dr. came very close to me, put his arm around me and whispered directly into my ear;
b)	In front of the students in the class, Dr. invited me into his office for "private" conversations;
c)	During Dr. class lectures, he requested that I pronounce words in French, as he knew that I spoke that language, and then he discussed how "seductive" and "sexy" my accent sounded;
d)	After class, Dr. insisted that I wait for him so that he could escort me out of the building. When I tried to take the stairs, Dr. told me that, because I was wearing high heels, he wanted me to take the elevator with him;
e)	On or about October 12, 2012, Dr. told me that his department was having a happy hour and pressured me to attend the same. As a result of Dr. pressure, I agreed to attend the happy hour, and stayed in his lab doing work after class had ended. When no one showed up and I asked him where his colleagues were, Dr. laurent laughed and said that he forgot to invite anyone else;
ħ	Dr. brought a bottle of wine to his lab where I was working and said that the same was to "celebrate [my] birthday." While I worked, Dr. drank a significant amount of wine to the point where I believe that he became intoxicated;
g)	While we were in the lab, Dr. played love songs on his guitar and tried to pressure me to drink the wine that he brought;
h)	While he was in the lab and drinking wine, Dr. asked me about my previous involvement with an Indonesian Cultural Club Dance Troupe, commented on the "asses" and "curves" of the dancers. He also talked about the "sexy" and "seductive" poses of the dancers and discussed "the effect that that has on a guy";
i)	While he was in the lab with me, Dr. sat down next to me so closely that we were touching;
j)	As Dr. conduct was making me very uncomfortable, I feft the lab;



#### EEOC Charge of Discrimination Page 3 of 5 Initials of Charging Party —

k)	"Dear Ies shock come team sensif Frenc come unlea never	about October 22, 2012, Dr. sent me a text message which read Whitney, [a]fter many days in confusion, I believe I now know why sentially made a pass at you (for which I am very sorry, shaken and almost sed by my lack of self-control). Over the past couple of months, I have to appreciate my dear colleague (you) the only person I' [sic] have ever taught with. You have many awesome qualities: very smart, honest, tive, self-reflecting, extremely capable but endearingly vulnerable, fluent in th, great dancer, very pretty, and a person I respect enormously and have to care about more than I realized and more than I should have! The wine shed what my heart was feeling and should not have! I promise I will make you uncomfortable like that again! I was such an ass hold and am ned of my lack of self control! Respectfully and with warm regards,				
l)	In or about late October, I complained to Dr. Amster and Dean Goldstein regarding the sexual harassment to which I was subjected. Dr. Amster reminde me how important Dr. was to Respondent's Speech Language Hearing Science Program;					
m)	In response to my complaints, Dean Goldstein sent me Respondent's sexual harassment policy to review. He told me that Dr. meeded me to "forgiv him" and for me to acknowledge his apologies;					
n)	Dean Goldstein also told me that the first thing that I needed to do was to confront Dr. I expressed to Dean Goldstein that I was very nervous about having to confront Dr. because of the way that he treated me, because of how popular he was at Respondent, and because he undermined me in front of the students in the class. Dean Goldstein responded by telling me that I needed to confront Dr.					
<u>o)</u>	class i	about November 2, 2012, I requested a meeting with Dr. after the n which I assisted him was over. When I refused his offer to meet in his as I was uncomfortable being alone with him, he slammed something on the counter in the class and yelled, "I don't believe this shit";				
p)		Draws and I walked outside, and I complained about the treatment chine had subjected me, including on October 12, 2012, he was extremely towards me, including screaming at me and telling me the following:				
	(1)	"You drank wine, too";				
	(2)	"You have been disrespectful and agggresive";				
	(3)	"This is just as much your fault";				
	(4)	You have been the professor from helf; and,				
	(5)	You should look in the mirror if you don't want to be a hypocrite."				
q)	Dr.	also questioned me repeatedly as to who I told regarding his ly harassing conduct;				
r)		our discussion, Dr. told me, for the first time, that he wanted me rict my attendance of his lectures in the class in which I was assisting him;				



#### EEOC Charge of Discrimination Page 4 of 5 Initials of Charging Party –

s)	After my discussion with Dr. I complained about the same to Dr. Amster. Dr. Amter's response was to tell me that Dr. I was just worried about his class and to remind me that he was very important to the Speech Language Hearing Science Program;
t)	On or about November 4, 2012, Dr. emailed me and told me that, going forward, he wanted to change my assignment to only teach one (1) lecture session per week whereas my assignment since the commencement of the class, was to teach one (1) lab session per week. Dr. electure also told me that he did not want me to attend any lecture sessions;
u)	Dr. Amster told me that Dr. was very upset, and told me that I should agree to his terms to only teach one (1) lecture session per week, Instead of the one (1) lab session for which I had responsibility and which I had been teaching since the commencement of the class;
<b>v</b> )	Pursuant to the pressure from Dr. Amster and Dean Goldstein, I agreed to limit my presence at Dr. class to one (1) lab session per week and to not attend any lecture sessions;
w)	Dr. Amster unjustly and harshly criticized my performance in a way that she had not done prior to my complaints;
x)	Dr. Amster treated me in a hostile manner,
y)	Dr. Amster interacted with me differently than she had prior to my complaints;
z) 	No one at Respondent got back to me regarding my complaints about the sex discriminatory conduct, including sexual harassment, or retaliatory conduct to which T had been subjected;
aa)	I was told that Dr. would continue teaching the class on which I had assisted him during the following academic year rather than me taking it over, contrary to what Respondent had represented when I commenced my employment;
bb)	On or about January 24, 2013, Dr. Amster and Dean Goldstein informed me that "a lot" of people were complaining about me, and that I had one (1) week to submit a "mini-dossier" regarding all of my accomplishments. At that time, the department faculty would vote on whether my employment would continue;

- cc) On or about February 11, 2013, I was informed that my contract was not going to be renewed for the following year,
- dd) Based on Respondent's continued discriminatory and retaliatory conduct, including their informing me that my contract would not be renewed after the completion of the semester, I informed Respondent that I was unable to return to work for the remainder of my contract;



#### EEOC Charge of Discrimination Page 5 of 5 Initials of Charging Party —

- After my discharge from employment, I complained to Respondent again regarding the discriminatory and retaliatory conduct to which I was subjected. Respondent's Human Resources Department indicated that it had On or about July 1, 2013, Respondent sent me a letter which stated that, "The investigation confirmed, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Dr. engaged in unwelcome conduct of a sexual nature towards you. The University will take appropriate action in light of that finding, consistent with its strict-anti-harassment policy"; and,
- ff) Respondent's letter did not address what action it would take regarding its finding that I had been subjected to sexual harassment; why it falled to take any action regarding my prior complaints; or, the continued discriminatory and retaliatory conduct to which I had been subjected, including being told that my contract would not be renewed.
- B. 1. Respondent's Stated Reasons
  - (a) Respondent has not provided an explanation for the hostile work environment to which I was subjected; and,
  - (b) Respondent has not provided an explanation for its failure to renew my contract and its discharge of my employment.
- C. 1. Statutes and Basis for Allegations

I believe that Respondent has discriminated against me based on my sex in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §2000(e), et seq. ("Title VII") and the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, as amended, 43 P.S. §951, et seq. ("PHRA"), and retallated against me based on my complaints about the same, in violation of the above-referenced statutes, as set forth herein.

# INFORMATION FOR COMPLAINANTS & ELECTION OPTION TO DUAL FILE WITH THE PENNSYLVANIA HUMAN RELATIONS COMMISSION

Whitney Postman v. LaSalle University

EEOC No. 5302013-03470	ر ع
You have the right to file this charge of discrimination with the Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission (PHRC) under the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act. Filing your charge with PHRC protects your state rights, especially since there may be circumstances in which state and federal laws and procedures vary in a manner which would affect the outcome of your case.	3
Complaints filed with the PHRC must be filed within 180 days of the act(s) which you believe are unlawful discrimination. If PHRC determines that your PHRC complaint is untimely, it will be dismissed.	
If you want your charge filed with the PHRC, including this form as part of your EEOC charge, with your signature under the verification below, will constitute filing with the PHRC. You have chosen EEOC to investigate your complaint, so PHRC will not investigate it and, in most cases, will accept EEOC's finding. If you disagree with PHRC's adoption of EEOC's finding, you will have the chance file a request for preliminary hearing with PHRC.	
Since you have chosen to file your charge first with EEOC, making it the primary investigatory agenthe Respondent will not be required to file an answer with PHRC, and no other action with PHRC is required by either party, unless/until otherwise notified by PHRC.	cy
If your case is still pending with PHRC after one year from filing with PHRC, you have the right to f your complaint in state court. PHRC will inform you of these rights and obligations at that time. [Sign and date appropriate request below]	ile
X I want my charge filed with PHRC. I hereby incorporate this form and the verification below interest that the attached EEOC complaint form and file it as my PHRC complaint. I request EEOC to transmit it to PHRC.	
X I understand that false statements in this complaint are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.C.S. §4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.  X Signature and Date	ļ
I do not want my charge dual filed with PHRC	ı
Signature and Date	

# Exhibit "2"

EEOC Form 161-B (11/09)

### U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

### NOTICE OF RIGHT TO SUE (ISSUED ON REQUEST)

	itney Postman ladelphia, PA 19102		From:	Philadelphia District Office 801 Market Street Suite 1300 Philadelphia, PA 19107
	On behalf of person(s) aggr. CONFIDENTIAL (29 CFR §	ieved whose identity is 1601.7(a))		
EEOC Cha	arge No.	EEOC Representative		Telephone No.
530-2013	3-03470	Legal Unit, Legal Technician		(215) 440-2828
Notice to	THE PERSON AGGRIEVED:		(See also	the additional information enclosed with this form.)
been issue	d at your request. Your laws ceipt of this notice; or your in ay be different.)	uit under Title VII, the ADA or GINA r ight to sue based on this charge will	nust be file be lost. (Th	or the Genetic Information Nondiscrimination based on the above-numbered charge. It has ed in a federal or state court <u>WITHIN 90 DAYS</u> he time limit for filing suit based on a claim under
		passed since the filing of this charge		
	be able to complete its ad	passed since the filing of this charge ministrative processing within 180 da	but I have	determined that it is unlikely that the EEOC will
X	The EEOC is terminating	its processing of this charge.	yo nom mo	ming of this charge.
	The EEOC will continue to			
Age Discrin 90 days afte your case:	mination in Employment Ac er you receive notice that we	ct (ADEA): You may sue under the A have completed action on the charge	DEA at any . In this req	time from 60 days after the charge was filed until gard, the paragraph marked below applies to
	The EEOC is closing your 90 DAYS of your receipt	case. Therefore, your lawsuit under of this Notice. Otherwise, your right	the ADEA it to sue bas	must be filed in federal or state court WITHIN ed on the above-numbered charge will be lost.
	The EEOC is continuing its you may file suit in federal	s handling of your ADEA case. Howe or state court under the ADEA at this	ever, if 60 da time.	ays have passed since the filing of the charge,
Equal Pay An federal or any violation	Act (EPA): You already have state court within 2 years (3 yons that occurred more than	the right to sue under the EPA (filing a ears for willful violations) of the allege n 2 years (3 years) before you file s	an EEOC ch d EPA unde uit may no	narge is not required.) EPA suits must be brought rpayment. This means that backpay due for the collectible.
		e send a copy of your court complaint		
		On behalf o	f the Comm	2 9/22/11
Enclosures(	(s)	Spencer H. Le District Dir	wis, Jr., ector	(Date Mailed)
cc: L	ASALLE UNIVERSITY Caren N Gurmankin, Esq. (f	or Charging Party)		

Carmon M. Harvey, Esq. (for Respondent)